

# Republic of the Philippines Department of Education Cordillera Administrative Region

# SCHOOLS DIVISION OF BENGUET

Wangal, La Trinidad, Benguet

Division Memorandum No. <u>২৪৭</u>, s. 2019



Document Code: SDO-BENG-GQF-QF-OSDS-SDS-003

Revision: 00

Effectivity date: 09-03-2018

Name of Office: SGOD-DRRM

September 23, 2019

# 2019 DIVISION SEARCH FOR BEST SCHOOL DRRM IMPLEMENTERS

**To:** Public Schools District Supervisors/District in charge District DRRM Coordinators, Elementary and Secondary School Heads, School DRRM Coordinators

Public and Private Schools

TEP 24 2019

- 1. As part of SDO Benguet's way of recognizing the efforts exerted by the schools in implementing Disaster Risk Reduction Management (DRRM), Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation (CCAM) and Education in Emergencies (EiE), a division-wide search for the Best School DRRM implementers is being conducted. Further, the Search also aims to assess the awareness, preparedness and capacities of schools to prepare and manage possible disasters or emergencies with the end-goal of ensuring the safety of learners and personnel.
- 2. The Search will be in four (4) categories, namely:
  - a) Public Elementary School Category
  - b) Public Secondary School Category
  - c) Private Elementary School Category
  - d) Private Secondary School Category
- 3. Attached are the Criteria and Guidelines for the documents to be submitted.
- 4. First Place winners in each category will be awarded with Plaques of Recognition while the rest will be awarded with Certificates of Recognition/Participation. Likewise, School Heads and School DRRM Coordinators of all participating schools will also be given Certificates of Recognition.
- 5. To maximize the participation of all interested schools, all entries will be submitted directly at the Schools Division Office **on or before October 15, 2019** to qualify for the Search. Evaluation of documents and validation of entries will be conducted by DepEd internal partners. Clarifications and queries may be directed to Ms. Nerissa I. Barbosa, PDO II-DRRM, at CP# 0910-391-7747.
- 6. Widest dissemination and compliance with this Memorandum is desired.

BENIEDA NI DAYTACA, Ed.D.

Asst. Schools Division Superintendent

OIC – Office of the Schools Division Superintendent

Encls: Enclosure No. 1 Mechanics for the 2019 Search for Best school DRRM implementer

Enclosure No. 2 Comprehensive School Safety Checklist

Enclosure No. 3 Format of Documents to be submitted

References: RA 10121, RM 293, s. 2019

To be indicated in the Perpetual Index under the following subjects:

ADVOCACY

CCAM

DRRM

SAFE SCHOOLS

//SGOD/DRRM2019/IEC and Advocacy for Resilience

# MECHANICS FOR THE 2019 SEARCH FOR THE BEST SCHOOL DRRM IMPLEMENTER

- 1. The **Search for the 2019 Best School DRRM Implementer** will cover the period, October 2018 September 2019. Only those activities conducted within these dates will be considered for the Search.
- 2. The search shall have four (4) categories: Public Elementary Level; Public Secondary Level; Private Elementary Level; Private Secondary Level.
- 3. The <u>Comprehensive School Safety Checklist</u> (Enclosure No. 2) shall be used in evaluating the school entries.
- 4. Timelines for the Search are as follows:

Deadline for submission of documents

- October 15, 2019
Table Validation of Documents
- Oct. 15 – 18, 2019
Field Validation of Top 3 Schools (*if needed*)
- Oct. 21-25, 2019
Release of winners thru Division memo
- Oct. 28, 2019
- Oct. 30, 2019
Awarding of Division Winners (c/o HRD)
- December 2019

5. Criteria for judging are as follows:

a)	Enabling Environment	23 points
b)	Pillar 1: Safe Learning Environment	35 points
c)	Pillar 2: School Disaster Risk Management	30 points
d)	Pillar 3: DRR in Education	12 points
	TOTAL	100 POINTS

6. There shall be three winners (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>) in each category to be given Certificates of Recognition, including the School Head and School DRRM Coordinator. Non-winners will be recognized as Division Finalists and will be given with Certificates of Recognition as well.

COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL SAFETY CHECKLIST

	COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL SAFETY CHECKLIST CATORS	DOINTS
ENAF	BLING ENVIRONMENT	POINTS 23
	egal Framework and Policies	
	Adopted/adapted/localized evicting policies relation to DDBM in adverting ( In I	
1.1.	safety/CCA/EiE	
2. O	rganizational arrangements, leadership, and coordination for risk reduction and	
re	silience	
2.1	Designated School DRRM Focal person/Coordinator	
	Formed School DPRM Committee / Team consisting of control life	
2.2	offices; with defined membership and roles and responsibilities/functions	
3. In	tegration of risk reduction and resilience into education sector strategies,	
рс	plicies and plans	
3.1	Has a comprehensive School DRRM Plan, that includes CCA and EiE measures,	
	covering risk assessment, risk reduction, and rehabilitation and recovery	
3.2	Students participated in the planning process	
3.3	Integrated DRRM into the School Improvement Plan (SIP) or its equivalent (for	<del>.</del>
	private schools)	
3.4	100% completion of DRR-related questions in the EMIS/EBEIS	
3.5	School submitted Rapid Assessment of Damages report (RADaR) to DepEd-DRRMS	
	within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area. (Hazard Incident	
	Report for small-scale/isolated cases)	
4.		
4.1	Regular DRRM activities are supported by school budget	
4.2	Existence of funding sources for interventions in the aftermath of a disaster or	
	emergency (can be easily tapped)	
4.3	School has partnerships that could be tapped to support its DRRM programs and	
	activities, including those after a disaster	
	Child-centered Risk Assessment	··
5.1	Conducted student-led school watching and hazard mapping (DO 23, s. 2015)	
5.2	Incorporated results of student-led school watching and hazard mapping in the	
F 3	School DRRM Plan and SIP	
5.3	Linked student-led school watching and hazard mapping with the community	
	hazard maps of LGUs provided by DOST	
5.4	School Planning Team have linked the community hazard maps with education	
	data to better understand the school's risk	
6.		
n 1	Existence of data collection and consolidation of programs and activities on DRRM,	
6.1	conversion than 2 Dillamater and the state of the state o	
	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact	
6.2	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a	<u> </u>
	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area	
6.2	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area  PILLAR 1: SAFE SCHOOL FACILITIES	35
6.2 <b>7.</b>	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area  PILLAR 1: SAFE SCHOOL FACILITIES  Existing schools are being made safer, systemically	35
6.2 <b>7.</b> 7.1	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area  PILLAR 1: SAFE SCHOOL FACILITIES  Existing schools are being made safer, systemically  Has an inventory of the school buildings	35
6.2 <b>7.</b> 7.1 7.2	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area  PILLAR 1: SAFE SCHOOL FACILITIES  Existing schools are being made safer, systemically  Has an inventory of the school buildings  School buildings have undergone risk assessment	35
7.1 7.2 7.3	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area  PILLAR 1: SAFE SCHOOL FACILITIES  Existing schools are being made safer, systemically  Has an inventory of the school buildings  School buildings have undergone risk assessment  Unsafe school building identified	3\$
7.1 7.2 7.3 8.	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area  PILLAR 1: SAFE SCHOOL FACILITIES  Existing schools are being made safer, systemically  Has an inventory of the school buildings  School buildings have undergone risk assessment  Unsafe school building identified  Resources and plan to address unsafe school buildings	35
6.2 7. 7.1 7.2 7.3	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area  PILLAR 1: SAFE SCHOOL FACILITIES  Existing schools are being made safer, systemically  Has an inventory of the school buildings  School buildings have undergone risk assessment  Unsafe school building identified  Resources and plan to address unsafe school buildings  Systems for monitoring and quality assurance of school building consutrction	35
7.1 7.2 7.3 8.	covering the 3 Pillars, to monitor results and impact  Submitted RADaR to the Central Office within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard in the area  PILLAR 1: SAFE SCHOOL FACILITIES  Existing schools are being made safer, systemically  Has an inventory of the school buildings  School buildings have undergone risk assessment  Unsafe school building identified  Resources and plan to address unsafe school buildings	35

8.3	Number of unsafe school buildings with appropriate action undertaken by the School Head	
9.	Maintenance to increase safety and protection of investments in schools	
9.1	Conducted regular school inspection and maintenance of facilities conducted	
9.2	Undertaken regular repair of minor classroom (including facilities) damages	
9.3	Roles and responsibilities for maintenance are defined, documented and assigned	
9.4	School Head has allotted budget for routine maintenance of school facilities for	
	safety and to protect investments, with transparent monitoring oversight at the	
	school level	
10	Planning for limited use of schools as evacuation centers, during the school year	
10.1	Planning for limited use of schools as evacuation centers, during the school year	
10.2	School Head has identified school buildings/classrooms that are expected to be	
	used as temporary evacuation centers for disasters	
10.3	School Head is clear with the roles and functions of the school in camp	
	management vis'a'vis the LGU and DSWD as per Joint Memorandum Circular No.	
	1, series of 2003 "Guidelines on Evacuation Center Coordination and Management"	
	and RA 10821 "Children's Emergency Relief and Protection Act" and its	
	corresponding IRR	
11	. Guidance and regulations for safe school construction	
1.1	Guidance and regulations on school building/classroom components according to	
	DepEd and/or National Building Code/approved standard design and	
	specifications	
	PILLAR 2: SCHOOL DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT	30
12	. Existence of plans for preparedness and response, education continuity and	
	protection of education sector investments	
12.1	School has a Contingency Plan, i.e. Preparedness Plan turned into response actions	•
	when a disaster strikes (this could be a separate section/chapter in the DRRM Plan)	
2.2	School has available, accessible, and adequate first aid kit in every instructional	
	classroom	
12.3	School has at least 2 necessary and functioning equipment, in case of a disaster or	
	emergency (e.g. fire extinguisher, handheld/base radio, generator, etc.) and	
	location of equipment is visible and easily accessible (not obstructed)	
2.4	School has pre-identified spaces for putting up Temporary Learning	
	Spaces/Shelters in the aftermath of a disaster	
2.5	School has ready resumption strategies and alternative delivery modes to ensure	
	education continuity	
2.6	School has ensured that students completed the Family Earthquake Preparedness	
	Plan; and school has reported completion to DepEd DRRM at the Central Office	
2.7	School has established a school personnel tracking system/protocol in the event of	
	a disaster	
2.8	School has trained personnel to administer first aid to students and personnel	<del></del>
2.9	School has psychosocial interventions for personnel and students	
2.10	School has trained teachers and other personnel who could provide psycho-social	
	support to students	
2.11	Hazard and evacuation maps are located in conspicuous places in the school	
2.12	School has evacuation plan and procedures	
2.13	School has conducted regular hazard-specific drills (at least 3 hazards) with	
	participation of stakeholders	
	Examples of Drills: EQ Drill, Fire Drill, Flashflood Drill (if applicable), lockdown drill	
2,14	School has established a functional early warning system to inform students and	
2.14	School has established a functional early warning system to inform students and personnel of hazards and emergencies (protocols, warning signs, devices, IEC)	

12.15	School has a student-family reunification plan that is clearly disseminated to students, teachers and parents	
12.16	School has conducted awareness and capacity building for families and learners	
12.17	School participated in the different DRRM/CCA/EiE activities of the LGU	
	PILLAR 3: DISASTER RISK REDUCTION (DRR) IN EDUCATION	12
13.1	School has integrated key DRRM/CCA/EiE concepts in at least 4 subjects/learning areas based on the national curriculum guide	
13.2	More than 75% of students are actively participating in various DRRM/CCA/EiE activities	
13.3	School has a DRRM/CCA/EiE capacity building plan for teachers and personnel	
13.4	School Head and personnel have received at least 3 DRRM/CCA/EiE trainings from division, region or partners	
13.5	At least more than 10 DRRM/CCA/EiE resource materials are available in the school	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13.6	Presence of DRRM Corner with updated IEC materials posted in it and located in every classroom	

# STYLE GUIDE OF DOCUMENT TO BE SUBMITTED FOR THE DIVISION SEARCH FOR BEST SCHOOL DRRM IMPLEMENTER, SY 2019-2020

- 1. All entries must contain an accurate and comprehensive table of contents; all pages must also contain a page number. Each criterion shall have a corresponding tabbing.
- 2. Attachment of pictures: All pictures must be clear and in colored format; at least two (2) photo attachments are required for each page when supplemented with text description or a maximum of three (3) photos provided with text description.
- 3. Scanned documents as attachment: Unless of utmost importance or relevance, such documents shall not be allowed to occupy the entire page. It is recommended that there are at least two (2) scanned copy of documents per page.
- 4. All of the entries shall be compiled or presented in one single folder or book.
- 5. Introduction shall be limited to the following:
  - Brief description or profile of the School
  - Brief message and acknowledgments (if any)
  - Executive Summary
- 6. Use of standardized font, font size, paper size, paper orientation, line spacing, indents and number of pages for all entries.

Font type: Times New Roman or Arial

Font size for the content: 12
 Paper Orientation: Portrait
 Paper Size: A4

Line spacing: single spacing

Indents provided for in the style guide sample format

- Maximum pages: **300** including annexes and attachments (not back-to-back)

7. See Enclosure 3.2 for format of documents to be submitted

Indent: 1" each side



# Republic of the Philippines Department of Education Cordillera Administrative Region SCHOOLS DIVISION OF BENGUET



Wangal, La Trinidad, Benguet

Times New Roman; 48. Bold

# DIVISION SEARCH FOR BEST SCHOOL D.R.R.M. IMPLEMENTER

Calibri (body); 20, bold with bottom border)

(CATEGORY)

(insert official school logo here, if applicable)

(Name of entry)

(address)

# HEADER: NAME OF SCHOOL, CATEGORY (header from top: 0.5", centered)

# **Public Elementary School Category**

# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Part I. Preliminaries	
Title	x
Executive Summary	X
School Profile	x
Part II. Criteria (Based on CSS Checklist)	
Enabling Environment	х
Pillar 1: Safe School Facilities	x
Pillar 2: School Disaster Management	X
Pillar 3: DRR in Education	x
Part III. Annexes	

### HEADER: NAME OF SCHOOL, CATEGORY (header from top: 0.5", centered)

### PRELIMINARY TITLE

(Sample Contents Page only)

### I. INTRODUCTION TO THE SCHOOL

## Background

The establishment of Bosleng Elementary School started when the late Mayor Alfredo Alumno Sr. sent his friend, the later Mr. Tarcelo Curiano, to organize an adult class in the community in 1952. The community people were interested so they built a makeshift classroom out of cogon grass.

The informal class gave an idea to the community to request or an establishment of a formal class. School children from Beckes, Balangband and other nearby villages have to walk more than six kilometers to attend for all classes at Paoay ES located in Sayangan, Atok, Benguet. So that in 1955, are gother initiative of the late Mr. Incio Tumayan, with other folks, requested the person of a formal school through former Mayor Aurelio Casinto, Sr. The Ministry of Education sent a teacher in the person of Mr. Estolas. They occupied the cogon gras. Classroom from Monday to Friday and the adult class (informal) used it during Solvedes.

In 1957, a two-classroom building was built catering combination classes of Grades 1 and 2, and Grades 2 and 4. This was made possible through proper coordination of Mr. Tumay who was the first PTA President, and other members of the association. The school was headed by Mr. Willie Duran, a product of Topdac ES (SYs 1957-1960).

Through years, the school has become a complete monogracatering to a serage of pupils every school year. Enrolment has coincrease and Sys to but slowly decreases starting SY opening or schools nearby like	ntinuously
For SY 2019-2020, the school has a total learner population of	and
teachers/school personnel.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

PHOTO HERE	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

	·	
SCANNED DO	NNED DOCUMENT HERE	
SAMP		 ·
	:	

**SCANNED DOCUMENT HERE**